

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/424,500	02/22/2000	, AKIRA SATO	104788	8062	
25944 7	590 06/04/2003				
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			LEE, GRA	LEE, GRANVILL D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2825		
		DATE MAIL ED. 06/04/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/424,500	SATO, AKIRA				
Advisory Action	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Granvill D Lee, Jr	2825				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address					
FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
87 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I statutory period for reply originally set in inths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 						
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: he introduction of in only or NOTE: which wold create first 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	ling a corresponding number of a direction linto the claim a consideration. Inste J	finally rejected claims. ins haroduces new issues inch 268 has only a				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s): single s	it of pins. J				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 11 and 24.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-10,12-23 and 25-30.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
10. Other:	γ	Ned S				
		MATTHEW SMITH PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amended claims are disclosed or implied in the cited prior art .